



सत्यमेव जयते

आयुक्त का कार्यालय  
Office of the Commissioner  
केंद्रीय जीएसटी, अपील अहमदाबाद आयुक्तालय  
Central GST, Appeals Ahmedabad Commissionerate  
जीएसटी भवन, राजस्व मार्ग, अम्बावाड़ी, अहमदाबाद-380015  
GST Bhavan, Ambawadi, Ahmedabad-380015  
Phone: 079-26305065 - Fax: 079-26305136  
E-Mail : [commrappl1-cexamd@nic.in](mailto:commrappl1-cexamd@nic.in)  
Website : [www.cgstappealahmedabad.gov.in](http://www.cgstappealahmedabad.gov.in)



आज़ादी का  
अमृत महोत्सव

**By SPEED POST**

DIN:- 20231264SW0000616916

(क)	फ़ाइल संख्या / File No.	GAPPL/COM/STP/2232/2023 / 2m - 28
(ख)	अपील आदेश संख्या और दिनांक / Order-In -Appeal and date	AHM-EXCUS-002-APP-145/23-24 and 22.11.2023
(ग)	पारित किया गया / Passed By	श्री ज्ञानचंद जैन, आयुक्त (अपील) Shri Gyan Chand Jain, Commissioner (Appeals)
(घ)	जारी करने की दिनांक / Date of Issue	28.12.2023
(ङ)	Arising out of Order-In-Original No. 25/AC/Dem/NA/2022-23 dated 30.11.2022 passed by The The Assistant Commissioner, CGST Division-V, Ahmedabad North	
(च)	अपीलकर्ता का नाम और पता / Name and Address of the Appellant	Sundaramkumar Natvarsinh Makwana Prop. Raj Kamal Hotel, Opp. Bagodara Bus Stand Ahmedabad-Rajkot Highway, Bagodara Taluko Bavla, Dist: Ahmedabad - 382230

कोई व्यक्ति इस अपील-आदेश से असंतोष अनुभव करता है तो वह इस आदेश के प्रति यथास्थिति नीचे बताए गए सक्षम अधिकारी को अपील अथवा पुनरीक्षण आवेदन प्रस्तुत कर सकता है, जैसा कि ऐसे आदेश के विरुद्ध हो सकता है।

Any person aggrieved by this Order-in-Appeal may file an appeal or revision application, as the one may be against such order, to the appropriate authority in the following way.

भारत सरकार का पुनरीक्षण आवेदन:-

**Revision application to Government of India:**

(1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा अतत नीचे बताए गए मामलों के बारे में पूर्वोक्त धारा को उप-धारा के प्रथम परन्तुक के अंतर्गत पुनरीक्षण आवेदन अधीन सचिव, भारत सरकार, वित्त मंत्रालय, राजस्व विभाग, चौथी मंजिल, जीवन दीप भवन, संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली: 110001 को की जानी चाहिए :-

A revision application lies to the Under Secretary, to the Govt. of India, Revision Application Unit Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi - 110 001 under Section 35EE of the CEA 1944 in respect of the following case, governed by first proviso to sub-section (1) of Section-35 ibid :-

(क) यदि माल की हानि के मामले में जब ऐसी हानिकार खाने से किसी भण्डागार या अन्य कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार से दूसरे भण्डागार में माल ले जाते हुए मार्ग में, या किसी भण्डागार या भण्डार में चाहे वह किसी कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार से हो माल की प्रकिया के दौरान हुई हो।

In case of any loss of goods where the loss occur in transit from a factory to a warehouse or to another factory or from one warehouse to another during the course of processing of the goods in a warehouse or in storage whether in a factory or in a warehouse.

(ख) भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित माल पर या माल के विनिर्माण में उपयोग शुल्क कच्चे माल पर उत्पादन शुल्क के रिबेट के मामलों में जो भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित है।



In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.

(ग) यदि शुल्क का भुगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर (नेपाल या भूटान को) निर्यात किया गया माल हो।

In case of goods exported outside India export to Nepal or Bhutan, without payment of duty.

(घ) अंतिम उत्पादन की उत्पादन शुल्क के भुगतान के लिए जो ड्यूटी क्रेडिट मान्य की गई है और ऐसे आदेश जो इस धारा एवं नियम के मुताबिक आयुक्त, अपील के द्वारा पारित वो समय पर या बाद में वित्त अधिनियम (नं 2) 1998 धारा 109 द्वारा नियुक्त किए गए हों।

Credit of any duty allowed to be utilized towards payment of excise duty on final products under the provisions of this Act or the Rules made there under and such order is passed by the Commissioner (Appeals) on or after, the date appointed under Sec.109 of the Finance (No.2) Act, 1998.

(2) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क (अपील) नियमावली, 2001 के नियम 9 के अंतर्गत विनिर्दिष्ट प्रपत्र संख्या इए-8 में दो प्रतियों में, प्रेषित आदेश के प्रति आदेश प्रेषित दिनांक से तीन मास के भीतर मूल-आदेश एवं अपील आदेश की दो-दो प्रतियों के साथ उचित आवेदन किया जाना चाहिए। उसके साथ खाता इ का मुख्य शीर्ष के अंतर्गत धारा 35-इ में निर्धारित फी के भुगतान के सबूत के साथ टीआर-6 चालान की प्रति भी होनी चाहिए।

The above application shall be made in duplicate in Form No. EA-8 as specified under Rule, 9 of Central Excise (Appeals) Rules, 2001 within 3 months from the date on which the order sought to be appealed against is communicated and shall be accompanied by two copies each of the OIO and Order-In-Appeal. It should also be accompanied by a copy of TR-6 Challan evidencing payment of prescribed fee as prescribed under Section 35-EE of CEA, 1944, under Major Head of Account.

(3) रिविजन आवेदन के साथ जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख रुपये या उससे कम होतो रुपये 200/- फीस भुगतान की जाए और जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख से ज्यादा हो तो 1000/- की फीस भुगतान की जाए।

The revision application shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs.200/- where the amount involved is Rupees One Lac or less and Rs.1,000/- where the amount involved is more than Rupees One Lac.

सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवा कर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के प्रति अपील:-  
Appeal to Custom, Excise, & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal.

(1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1944 की धारा 35-बी/35-इ के अंतर्गत:-  
Under Section 35B/ 35E of CEA, 1944 an appeal lies to :-

(2) उक्तलिखित परिच्छेद में बताए अनुसार के अलावा की अपील, अपीलो के मामले में सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट) की पश्चिम क्षेत्रीय पीठिका, अहमदाबाद में 2<sup>nd</sup> माला, बहुमाली भवन, असरवा, गिरधरनागर, अहमदाबाद-380004।

To the west regional bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT) at 2<sup>nd</sup> floor, Bahumali Bhawan, Asarwa, Girdhar Nagar, Ahmedabad: 380004.  
In case of appeals other than as mentioned above para.

The appeal to the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed in quadruplicate in form EA-3 as prescribed under Rule 6 of Central Excise(Appeal) Rules, 2001 and shall be accompanied against (one which at least should be accompanied by a fee of Rs.1,000/- , Rs.5,000/- and Rs.10,000/- where amount of duty / penalty / demand / refund is upto 5 Lac, 5 Lac to 50 Lac and above 50 Lac respectively in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of Asstt. Registrar of a branch of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of the Tribunal is situated.



(3) यदि इस आदेश में कई मूल आदेशों का समावेश होता है तो प्रत्येक मूल आदेश के लिए फीस का भुगतान उपर्युक्त ढंग से किया जाना चाहिए इस तथ्य के होते हुए भी कि लिखा पढ़ी कार्य से बचने के लिए यथास्थिति अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को एक अपील या केन्द्रीय सरकार को एक आवेदन किया जाता है।

In case of the order covers a number of order-in-Original, fee for each O.I.O. should be paid in the aforesaid manner notwithstanding the fact that the one appeal to the Appellant Tribunal or the one application to the Central Govt. As the case may be, is filled to avoid scriptoria work if excising Rs. 1 lacs fee of Rs.100/- for each.

(4) न्यायालय शुल्क अधिनियम 1970 यथा संशोधित की अनुसूची -1 के अंतर्गत निर्धारित किए अनुसार उक्त आवेदन या मूलआदेश यथास्थिति निर्णयन प्राधिकारी के आदेश में से प्रत्येक की एक प्रतिपर रु 6.50 पैसे का न्यायालय शुल्क टिकट लगा होना चाहिए।

One copy of application or O.I.O. as the case may be, and the order of the adjournment authority shall a court fee stamp of Rs.6.50 paise as prescribed under scheduled-I item of the court fee Act, 1975 as amended.

(5) इन ओर संबंधित मामलों को नियंत्रण करने वाले नियमों की ओर भी ध्यान आकर्षित किया जाता है जो सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (कार्याविधि) नियम, 1982 में निहित है।

Attention is invited to the rules covering these and other related matter contended in the Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1982.

(6) सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट) एके प्रति अपील के मामले में कर्तव्यमांग (Demand) एवं दंड (Penalty) का 10% पूर्व जमा करना अनिवार्य है। हालांकि, अधिकतम पूर्व जमा 10 करोड़ रुपए है। (Section 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994)

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क और सेवाकर के अंतर्गत, शामिल होगा कर्तव्य की मांग (Duty Demanded)।

- (1) खंड (Section) 11D के तहत निर्धारित राशि;
- (2) लिया गलत सेनवैट क्रेडिट की राशि;
- (3) सेनवैट क्रेडिट नियमों के नियम 6 के तहत देय राशि।

यह पूर्व जमा 'लंबित अपील' में पहले पूर्व जमा की तुलना में 'अपील' दाखिल करने के लिए पूर्व शर्त बना दिया गया है।

For an appeal to be filed before the CESTAT, 10% of the Duty & Penalty confirmed by the Appellate Commissioner would have to be pre-deposited, provided that the pre-deposit amount shall not exceed Rs.10 Crores. It may be noted that the pre-deposit is a mandatory condition for filing appeal before CESTAT. (Section 35 C (2A) and 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994).

Under Central Excise and Service Tax, "Duty demanded" shall include:

- (i) amount determined under Section 11 D;
- (ii) amount of erroneous Cenvat Credit taken;
- (iii) amount payable under Rule 6 of the Cenvat Credit Rules.

(6) (i) इस आदेश के प्रति अपील प्राधिकरण के समक्ष जहाँ शुल्क अथवा शुल्क या दण्ड विवादित हो तो माँग किए गए शुल्क के 10% भुगतान पर और जहाँ केवल दण्ड विवादित हो तब दण्ड के 10% भुगतान पर की जा सकती है।

In view of above, an appeal against this order shall lie before the Tribunal on payment of 10% of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute, or penalty, where penalty alone is in dispute."



**ORDER-IN-APPEAL**

The present appeal has been filed by M/s. Sundaramkumar Natvarsinh Makwana, Prop. Raj Kamal Hotel, Opp. Bagodara Bus Stand, Ahmedabad-Rajkot Highway, Bagodara, Taluko Bavla, Dist: Ahmedabad - 382230(hereinafter referred to as "the appellant") against Order-in-Original No. 25/AC/Dem/NA/2022-23 dated 30.11.2022(hereinafter referred to as "the impugned order") passed by the Assistant Commissioner, CGST Division-V, Ahmedabad North (hereinafter referred to as "the adjudicating authority").

2. Briefly stated, the facts of the case are that the appellant are holding PAN No. BBSPM6146B. On scrutiny of the data received from the Central Board of Direct Taxes (CBDT) for the Financial Year 2016-17, it was noticed that the appellant had earned an income of Rs. 15,21,950/- during the FY 2016-17, which was reflected under the heads "Sales / Gross Receipts from Services (Value from ITR)" filed with the Income Tax department. Accordingly, it appeared that the appellant had earned the said substantial income by way of providing taxable services but has neither obtained Service Tax registration nor paid the applicable service tax thereon. The appellant were called upon to submit copies of Balance Sheet, Profit & Loss Account, Income Tax Return, Form 26AS, for the said period. However, the appellant had not responded to the letters issued by the department.

2.1 Subsequently, the appellant were issued Show Cause Notice No. V/15/M/s. Sundarakumar Natvarsinh Makwana/Div-V/2021 dated 04.10.2021 demanding Service Tax amounting to Rs. 2,28,293/- for the period FY 2016-17, under proviso to Sub-Section (1) of Section 73 of the Finance Act, 1994. The SCN also proposed recovery of interest under Section 75 of the Finance Act, 1994; recovery of late fees under Rule 7C of the Service Tax Rules, 1994 read with Section 70 of the Finance Act, 1994; and imposition of penalties under Section 77(1) and Section 78 of the Finance Act, 1994.

2.2 The Show Cause Notice was adjudicated vide the impugned order by the adjudicating authority wherein the demand of Service Tax amounting to Rs. 2,28,293/-was confirmed under proviso to Sub-Section (1) of Section 73 of the Finance Act, 1994 along with Interest under Section 75 of the Finance Act, 1994 for



the period from FY 2016-17. Further (i) Penalty of Rs. 2,28,293/- was also imposed on the appellant under Section 78 of the Finance Act, 1994; (ii) Penalty of Rs. 10,000/- was imposed on the appellant under Section 77(1) of the Finance Act, 1994; and (iii) Ordered for recoveries of late fees under Rule 7C of the Service Tax Rules, 1994 read with Section 70 of the Finance Act, 1994 for non filing service tax returns.

3. Being aggrieved with the impugned order passed by the adjudicating authority, the appellant have preferred the present appeal on the following grounds:

- The appellant was not registered the Service Tax Department. As they wanted to file appeal against the impugned OIO, they obtained temporary service tax reg. no to generate the challan for paying pre-deposit amount. Therefore, there is a delay of 28 days in filing the appeal. The applicant has requested to consider the cause of delay.
- The appellant is running a small Hotel (Dhaba) and a pan parlour at Bagodara. As per Service Tax Act, service provided in relation to serving of food or beverages by restaurant, eating joint or a mess, other than those having, the facility of air-conditioning or central air-heating in any part of the establishment, at any time during the year are exempt from levy of service tax.
- The appellant runs a small food point in which he serves snacks i.e. khaman, dhokla, bhajiya, tea, coffee, etc. and do not have a facility of air-conditioning or central air-heating in any part of the dabha. They also filed the reply through mail dated 23.11.2023.
- As per the definition of taxable service for restaurantbusiness in purview of Service Tax, the following two conditions should be fulfilled for the levy of service tax:
  - (a) The restaurant shall have the facility of air-conditioning. This facility can exist in any part of the establishment. For example, in a particular restaurant, 100sq.feet area is air-condition and balance 500 sq.feet area is without it. The service provided by such partially air-condition restaurant shall also be taxable.





(b) As per the requirement of the definition, in addition to air-conditioning facility, the restaurant should have license to serve alcoholic beverages.

- As discussed above the appellant runs a small food joint having no air-conditioning or air-heating facility. Neither they are having license to serve beverages. Thus, it can be said that none of the above conditions are fulfilled for the levy of service tax, in the present case. Hence, they are not liable for the service tax registration number and the payment of the service tax.

The appellant prayed that the appeal may be accepted and the OIO may be set aside in light of the above.

4. Personal hearing in the case was held on 01.09.2023 Shri Amrish J. Amin, Advocate, appeared on behalf of the appellant for personal hearing. He reiterated submissions made in appeal memorandum. He also submitted that the appellant was running dhabha in name of Raj Kamal hotel. The dhabha is non-AC. Most of the income is from sale of small packed food item/snacks, tea, coffee etc. He further submitted that the services from non-AC restaurants are exempted from service tax vide mega exemption Notification.

Further, due to change in the appellate authority, Personal hearing in the case was again held on 12.10.2023 but the appellant didn't attend the same.

5. On going through the appeal memorandum, it is noticed that the impugned order was issued on 30.11.2022 and received by the appellant on 07.12.2022. However, the present appeal, in terms of Section 85 of the Finance Act, 1994 was filed on 06.03.2023, i.e. after a delay of 28 day from the last date of filing of appeal. The appellant have along with appeal memorandum also filed an Application seeking condonation of delay stating that The appellant was not registered the Service Tax Department. As they wanted to file appeal against the impugned OIO, they obtained temporary service tax reg. no to generate the challan for paying pre-deposit amount and then after filed the present appeal on 06.03.2023 which was required to be filed on or before 06.02.2023.

6. Before taking up the issue on merits, I proceed to decide the Application filed seeking condonation of delay. As per Section 85 of the Finance Act, 1994, an appeal should be filed within a period of 2 months from the date of receipt of the decision or order passed by the adjudicating authority. Under the proviso appended to sub-section (3A) of Section 85 of the Finance Act, 1994, the Commissioner (Appeals) is empowered to condone the delay or to



allow the filing of an appeal within a further period of one month thereafter if, he is satisfied that the appellant was prevented by sufficient cause from presenting the appeal within the period of two months. Considering the cause of delay given in application as genuine, I condone the delay of 28 day and take up the appeal for decision on merits.

7. I have carefully gone through the facts of the case, grounds of appeal, submissions made in the Appeal Memorandum and documents available on record. The issue to be decided in the present appeal is whether the impugned order passed by the adjudicating authority, confirming the demand of service tax against the appellant along with interest and penalty, in the facts and circumstance of the case, is legal and proper or otherwise.

8. I find that in the SCN in question, the demand has been raised for the period FY 2016-17 based on the Income Tax Returns filed by the appellant. As the appellant filed their submission vide mail dated 23.11.2022 before the adjudicating authority. Considering the same not sufficient, The adjudicating authority decided the case and confirmed the demand along with interest and penalty. Further, as per their submission before me, it appears that the appellant is running a Non-AC Dhaba and a pan parlour at Bagodara and get cash payment in most of the cases being the small amount from its customers. The same income is shown in the ITR for the F.Y. 2016-17 considering which the demand was confirmed. In support of their claim they have furnished the copies of the sample cash vouchers/receipts, Sales Ledger account, P& L and form 26AS for the F.Y. 2016-17. Form 26AS is also showing Nil TDS deducted during the concerned period. Further, as per their submission the above activity performed by the appellant is exempted from the service tax as per Notification no 25/2012 dated 20.06.2012[14(b)]. The relevant portion is produced as under:

**Notification No. 25/2012-Service Tax**

New Delhi , the 20 th June, 2012

*G.S.R.....(E).- In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 93 of the Finance Act, 1994 (32 of 1994)(hereinafter referred to as the said Act) and in supersession of notification number 12/2012- Service Tax, dated the 17 th March, 2012, published in the Gazette of India, Extraordinary, Part II, Section 3, Sub-section (i) vide number G.S.R. 210 (E),dated the 17 th March, 2012, the Central Government,*



*being satisfied that it is necessary in the public interest so to do, hereby exempts the following taxable services from the whole of the service tax leviable thereon under section 66B of the said Act, namely:-*

*1....., 2 to 18.....,*

19. Services provided in relation to serving of food or beverages by a restaurant, eating joint or a mess, other than those having

- (i) the facility of air-conditioning or central air-heating in any part of the establishment, at any time during the year, and
- (ii) a licence to serve alcoholic beverages;

From their submission it appears that the income is received is against the sale of the various edible items. Therefore, the contention of the appellant appears to be sustainable.

9. In view of the above discussion, I am of the considered view that the activity carried out by the appellant not liable to pay Service Tax during the FY 2016-17. Since the demand of Service Tax is not sustainable on merits, there does not arise any question of charging interest or imposing penalties in the case.

10. In view of above, I hold that the impugned order passed by the adjudicating authority confirming demand of Service Tax, in respect of income received by the appellant during the FY 2016-17, is not legal and proper and deserve to be set aside. Accordingly, I set aside the impugned order and allow the appeal filed by the appellant.

11. अपील कर्ता द्वारा दर्ज की गई अपील का निपटारा उपरोक्त तरीके से किया जाता है।

The appeal filed by the appellant stands disposed of in above terms.

Attested

*G.C.J.*  
22.11.23

(ज्ञानचंद जैन)

आयुक्त (अपील्स)

Date :





(Manish kumar)  
Superintendent(Appeals),  
CGST, Ahmedabad

**By RPAD / SPEED POST**

To,

M/s. Sundaramkumar Natvarsinh Makwana,  
Prop. Raj Kamal Hotel, Opp. Bagodara Bus Stand,  
Ahmedabad-Rajkot Highway, Bagodara,  
Taluko Bavla, Dist: Ahmedabad - 382230

Appellant

The Assistant Commissioner,  
CGST Division-V,  
Ahmedabad North

Respondent

Copy to :

- 1) The Principal Chief Commissioner, Central GST, Ahmedabad Zone
- 2) The Commissioner, CGST, Ahmedabad North
- 3) The Assistant Commissioner, CGST Division-V, Ahmedabad North
- 4) The Assistant Commissioner (HQ System), CGST, Ahmedabad North

(for uploading the OIA)

5) Guard File

~~6) PA file~~



